This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



PCT

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classificati n 4: A61K 9/42, 9/52, B01J 13/02 C07F 9/10

(11) International Publication Number:

WO 88/ 04924

(43) International Publication Date:

14 July 1988 (14.07.88)

(21) International Application Number:

PCT/US87/03398

A1

(22) International Filing Date: 21 December 1987 (21.12.87)

(31) Priority Application Numbers:

946,415 132,136

(32) Priority Dates:

24 December 1986 (24.12.86)

18 December 1987 (18.12.87)

(33) Priority Country:

(71) Applicant: LIPOSOME TECHNOLOGY, INC. [US/US]; 1050 Hamilton Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025

(72) Inventors: ALLEN, Theresa, M.; 11223 72nd Avenue, Alberta, T6G 0B4 (CA). GABIZON, Alberto; 135 Font Boulevard, San Francisco, CA 94132 (US).

(74) Agents: DEHLINGER, Peter, J. et al.; Ciotti & Murashige, Irell & Manella, 545 Middlefield Road, Suite 200, Menlo Park, CA 94025 (US).

(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BE (European patent), CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK, FR (European patent), GB (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), NO, SE (European patent) pean patent).


Published

With international search report.

(54) Title: LIPOSOMES WITH ENHANCED CIRCULATION TIME

(57) Abstract

A composition of liposomes which contain an entrapped pharmaceutical agent and are characterized by (a) liposome sizes predominantly between about 0.07 and 0.5 microns; (b) at least about 50 mole percent of a membrane-rigidifying lipid, such as sphingomyelin or neutral phospholipids with predominantly saturated acyl chains; and (c) between about 5-20 mole percent of a glycolipid selected from the group consisting of ganglioside GM1, saturated phosphatidylinositol, and galactocerebroside sulfate ester. The liposomes in which a drug is entrapped are administered intravenously in a suspension as therapeutic drug treatment, and the liposomes have enhanced circulation time in the bloodstream.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT AU BB BE BG BJ BR CF CG CH DE DK	Austria Australia Barbados Belgium Bulgaria Benin Brazil Central African Republic Congo Switzerland Cameroon Germany, Federal Republic of Denmark Finland	FR GA GB HU IT JP KP KR LI LK LU MC MG	France Gabon United Kingdom Hungary Italy Japan Democratic People's Republic of Korea Republic of Korea Liechtenstein Sn Lanka Luxembourg Monaco Madagascar	MR	Mali Mauritania Malawi Netherlands Norway Romania Sudan Sweden Senegal Soviet Union Chad Togo United States of America	
-------------------------------------	---	--	---	----	--	--



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) Internati nal Patent Classification 4:	
A61K 9/42, 9/52, B01J 13/02	
C07F 9/10	

(11) International Publication Number:

WO 88/04924

(43) International Publication Date:

14 July 1988 (14.07.88)

(21) International Application Number: PCT/US87/03398

(22) International Filing Date: 21 December 1987 (21.12.87)

(31) Priority Application Numbers:

946,415 Not furnished

A1

(32) Priority Dates:

24 December 1986 (24.12.86) 17 December 1987 (17.12.87)

(33) Priority Country:

US

(71) Applicant: LIPOSOME TECHNOLOGY, INC. [US/US]; 1050 Hamilton Court, Menlo Park, CA 94025 (US).

(72) Inventors: ALLEN, Theresa, M.; 11223 72nd Avenue, Alberta, T6G 0B4 (CA). GABIZON, Alberto; 135 Font Boulevard, San Francisco, CA 94132 (US). (74) Agents: DEHLINGER, Peter, J. et al.; Ciotti & Murashige, Irell & Manella, 545 Middlefield Road, Suite 200, Menlo Park, CA 94025 (US).

(81) Designated States: AT (European patent), AU, BE (European patent), CH (European patent), DE (European patent), DK, FR (European patent), GB (European patent), IT (European patent), JP, LU (European patent), NL (European patent), NO, SE (European patent).


Published

With international search report.

(54) Title: LIPOSOMES WITH ENHANCED CIRCULATION TIME

(57) Abstract

A composition of liposomes which contain an entrapped pharmaceutical agent and are characterized by (a) liposome sizes predominantly between about 0.07 and 0.5 microns; (b) at least about 50 mole percent of a membrane-rigidifying lipid, such as sphingomyelin or neutral phospholipids with predominantly saturated acyl chains; and (c) between about 5-20 mole percent of a glycolipid selected from the group consisting of ganglioside GM₁, saturated phosphatidylinositol, and galactocerebroside sulfate ester. The liposomes in which a drug is entrapped are administered intravenously in a suspension as therapeutic drug treatment, and the liposomes have enhanced circulation time in the blood-stream.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT AU BB BE BG BJ BR CF CG CH DE DK FI	Austria Australia Barbados Belgium Bulgaria Benin Brazil Central African Republic Congo Switzerland Cameroon Germany, Federal Republic of Denmark	FR GA GB HU FT JP KP KR LI LK LU MC MG	France Gabon United Kingdom Hungary Italy Japan Democratic People's Republic of Korea Republic of Korea Liechtenstein Sri Lanka Luxembourg Monaco Madagascar	ML MR NO NO SD SE SE SN SU TD TG US	Mali Mauritania Malawi Netherlands Norway Romania Sudan Sweden Senegal Soviet Union Chad Togo United States of America	
--	---	--	--	--	--	--

LIPOSOMES WITH ENHANCED CIRCULATION TIME

1. Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to liposome therapeutic compositions, and particularly to liposomal formulations which have enhanced circulation time in the bloodstream, when administered intravenously.

2. References

- 1. Allen, T.M. (1981) Biochem. Biophys. Acta 640, 385397.
 - Allen, T.M., and Everest, J. (1983) J.
 Pharmacol. Exp. Therap. <u>226</u>, 539-544.
 - 3. Altura, B.M. (1980) Adv. Microcirc. 9, 252-294.
- 4. Alving, C.R. (1984) Biochem. Soc. Trans. <u>12</u>, 342344.
 - 5. Ashwell, G., and Morell, A.G. (1974) Adv. Enzymology 41, 99-128.
- 6. Czop, J.K. (1978) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 75:3831.
 - 7. Durocher, J.P., et al (1975) Blood <u>45</u>:11.
 - Ellens, H., et al. (1981) Biochim. Biophys.
 Acta <u>674</u>, 10-18.
- Gregoriadis, G., and Ryman, B.E. (1972) Eur.
 J. Biochem. <u>24</u>, 485-491.
 - 10. Gregoriadis, G., and Neerunjun, D. (1974)
 Eur. J. Biochem. 47, 179-185.
 - 11. Gregoriadis, G., and Senior, J. (1980) FEBS Lett. 119, 43-46.

12. Greenberg, J.P., et al (1979) Blood 53:916. Hakomori, S. (1981) Ann. Rev. Biochem. 50, 13. 733-764. Hwang, K.J., et al (1980) Proc. Natl. Acad. 14. 5 Sci. USA 77:4030. Jonah, M.M., et al. (1975) Biochem. Biophys. 15. Acta 401, 336-348. Juliano, R.L., and Stamp, D. (1975) 16. Biophys. Res. Commun. 63, 651-658. 10 17. Karlsson, K.A. (1982) In: Biological Membranes, vol. 4, D. Chapman (ed.) Academic Press, N.Y., pp. 1-74. 18. Kimelberg, H.K., et al. (1976) Cancer Res. <u>36</u>,29492957. 15 Lee, K.C., et al, J. Immunology 125:86 (1980). 19. Lopez-Berestein, G., et al. (1984) Cancer 20. Res. <u>44</u>, 375-378. 21. Okada, N. (1982) Nature 299:261. Poznansky, M.J., and Juliano, R.L. (1984) 22. 20 Pharmacol. Rev. 36, 277-336. Richardson, V.J., et al. (1979) Br. J. Cancer 23. 40, 3543. 24. Saba, T.M. (1970) Arch. Intern. Med. 126, 1031-1052. 25 Schaver, R. (1982) Adv. Carbohydrate Chem. 25. Biochem. 40:131. Scherphof, T., et al. (1978) 26. Biochim. Biophys. Acta <u>542</u>, 296-307. 27. Senior, J., and Gregoriadis, G. (1982) 30 Lett. <u>145</u>, 109-114. Senior, J., et al. (1985) Biochim. Biophys. 28. Acta 839, 1-8. 29.

Szoka, F., Jr., et al (1978) Proc. Natl.

Acad. Sci. USA 75:4194.

- 30. Szoka, F., Jr., et al (1980) Ann. Rev. Biophys. Bioeng. 9:467.
- 31. Woodruff, J.J., et al (1969) J. Exp. Med. 129:551.

10

15

20

25

30

3. Background of the Invention

Liposome delivery systems have been proposed for a variety of drugs. For use in drug delivery via the bloodstream, liposomes have the potential of providing a controlled "depot" release of a liposomeentrapped drug over an extended time period, and of reducing toxic side effects of the drug, by limiting the concentration of free drug in the bloodstream. Liposome/drug compositions can also increase the convenience of therapy by allowing higher drug dosage and less frequent drug administration. Liposome drug delivery systems are reviewed generally in Poznansky et al.

One limitation of intravenous liposome drug delivery which has been recognized for many years is the rapid uptake of blood-circulating liposomes by the mononuclear phagocytic system (MPS), also referred to as the reticuloendothelial system (RES). This system, which consists of the circulating macrophages and the fixed macrophages of the liver (Kupffer cells), spleen, lungs, and bone marrow, removes foreign particulate matter, including liposomes, from blood circulation with a half life on the order of minutes (Saba). Liposomes, one of the most extensively investigated particulate drug carriers, are removed from circulation primarily by Kupffer cells of the liver and to a lesser extent by other macrophage populations.

A variety of studies on factors which effect liposome uptake by the RES have been reported. Early experiments, using heterogeneous preparations of

multilamellar liposomes (MLV) containing phosphatidylcholine (PC) and cholesterol (CH) as their principal lipid constituents, demonstrated that these liposomes are rapidly removed from circulation by uptake 5 into liver and spleen in a biphasic process with an initial rapid uptake followed by a slow phase of uptake (Gregoriadis, 1974; Jonah; Gregoriadis, 1972; Juliano). Half-time for removal of MLV from circulation was on the order of 5-15 min. following intravenous (IV) injection. 10 Negatively charged liposomes are removed more rapidly from circulation than neutral or positively charged liposomes. Small unilamellar liposomes (SUV) are cleared with half-lives approximately three-to four-fold slower than MLV (Juliano; Allen, 1983). Uptake of 15 liposomes by liver and spleen occurs at similar rates in several species, including mouse, rat, monkey, and human (Gregoriadis, 1974; Jonah; Kimelberg, 1976; Juliano; Richardson; Lopez-Berestein).

Liposomes which are capable of evading the RES 20 would have two important benefits. One is the increased liposome circulation time in the blood, which would both increase the pharmacokinetic benefits of slow drug release in the bloodstream, and also provide greater opportunity for tissue targeting where the liver, 25 spleen, and lungs are not involved. The second benefit is decreased liposome loading of the RES. In addition to the role of the RES in removing foreign particles, the RES is involved in several other functions, including host defense against pathogenic microorganisms, par-30 asites, and tumor cells, host responses to endotoxins and hemorragic shock, drug response, and responses to circulating immune complexes (Saba, Altura). important, therefore, in liposome administration via the

10

15

25

30

bloodstream, to avoid compromising the RES seriously, by massive short-term or accumulated liposome uptake.

One approach which has been proposed is to increase liposome circulation time by increasing liposome stability in serum. This approach is based on studies by one of the inventors and others which have shown that factors which decrease leakage of liposome contents in plasma also decrease the rate of uptake of liposomes by the RES (Allen, 1983; Gregoriadis, 1980: Allen, 1981; Senior, 1982). The most important factor contributing to this effect appears to be bilayer rigidity, which renders the liposomes more resistant to the destabilizing effects of serum components, in particular high density lipoproteins (Allen, 1981; Scherphof). Thus, inclusion of cholesterol in the liposomal bilayer can reduce the rate of uptake by the RES (Gregoriadis, 1980; Hwang; Patel, 1983; Senior, 1985), and solid liposomes such as those composed of distearoylphosphatidylcholine (DSPC) or containing large amounts of sphingomyelin (SM) show decreased rate and

20 extent of uptake into liver (Allen, 1983; Ellens: Senior, 1982; Hwang).

However, this approach appears to have a limited potential for increasing liposome circulation times in the bloodstream. Studies carried out in support of the present invention, and reported below, indicated that 0.1-0.2 micron liposomes containing optimal membrane-rigidifying liposome formulation are predominantly localized in the RES two hours after intravenous liposome administration. Although longer circulation times are achieved with small unilamellar vesicles or SUVs (having a size range between about 0.03 and 0.08 the microns), SUVs are generally less useful in drug delivery due to their smaller drug-carrying capacity, and

10

15

20

their greater instability, which can lead to rapid release of liposome-associated drug, and to liposome fusion events that produce large and heterogeneous-size liposomes.

Several investigators, including the applicants, have also explored the possibility of increasing liposome circulation times by designing the liposome surface to mimic that of red blood cells. The role of cell surface carbohydrates in cellular recognition phenomena is widely appreciated (Ashwell, Hakomori, Karlsson). The chemistry, metabolism, and biological functions of sialic acid have been reviewed (Schauer). Surface sialic acid, which is carried by gangliosides, and glycoproteins such as glycophorin, plays an important role in the survival of erythrocytes, thrombocytes, and lymphocytes in circulation. Enzymatic removal of sialic acid, which exposes terminal galactose residues, results in rapid removal of erythrocytes from circulation, and uptake into Kupffer cells of the liver (Durocher). Desialylation of thrombocytes (Greenberg) and lymphocytes (Woodruff) also results in their rapid removal by the liver.

Although desialylated erythrocytes will bind
to Kupffer cells or peritoneal macrophages in vitro in
the absence of serum, serum must be added in order for
significant phagocytosis to occur. The nature of the
serum components mediating endocytosis is speculative,
but immunoglobin and complement (C3b) are thought to be
involved. Czop et al. (Czop) have shown that sheep
erythrocytes, which are not normally phagocytosed by
human monocytes, will bind C3b and be phagocytosed upon
desialylation: Okada et al. (Okada) have demonstrated
that sialyglycolipids on liposome membranes restrict
activation of the alternative complement pathway and

10

25

30

that removal of the terminal sialic acid from the glycolipids abolishes this restricting capacity and results in activation of the alternative complement pathway. Sialic acid, therefore, may be functioning as a non-recognition molecule on cell membranes partly through its ability to prevent binding of C3b, thus preventing phagocytosis via the alternative complement pathway. Other immune factors may also be involved in liposome phagocytosis. Alving has reported that 50% of the test sera from individual humans contain naturally occurring "anti-liposome" antibodies which mediated complement-dependent immune damage to liposomes.

The observations reported above suggest that surface sialic acid, and/or other red-cell surface 15 agents, incorporated into liposomes, for example, in the form of ganglioside or glycophorin, may lead to increased circulation half-lives of liposomes. This approach is described, for example, in U.S. Patent No. 4, 501, 728 for "Masking of Liposomes from RES Recogni-20 tion", although this patent does not disclose whether significant RES masking is actually achieved by coating liposomes with sialic acid.

In fact, experiments conducted in support of the present applications indicate that sialic acid, in the form of gangliosides, has a limited ability to extend circulation half lives in vivo in liposomes which are predominantly composed of conventional liposomes lipids, such as egg phosphatidylcholine (egg PC) or egg PC:cholesterol mixtures. In vivo uptake studies on PC:cholesterol:ganglioside liposomes (0.2 microns or smaller) indicate that the injected liposomes are localized predominantly in the RES two hours post the administration.

10

25 [~]

30

In summary, several approaches for achieving enhanced liposome circulation times in the bloodstream have been proposed. Heretofore, however, the approaches have produced quite limited improvements in blood circulation times, particularly in liposomes in the 0.07-0.2 micron size range which are generally most desirable for parenteral drug compositions.

4. Summary of the Invention

It is therefore one general object of the present invention to provide an improved liposome composition which gives significantly improved blood circulation times.

A more specific object of the invention is to provide such a composition in which liposomes are predominantly localized in the bloodstream, rather than in the liver and spleen, several hours after liposome administration.

Yet another object of the invention is to provide such a composition containing liposomes which are in a selected size range between about 0.08-0.2 microns.

Providing a method for significantly extending the lifetime of drug-carrying liposomes in the bloodstream, for improved drug delivery and/or drug targeting in tumor treatment is still another object of the invention.

The invention includes a liposome composition which is designed for enhanced circulation in the bloodstream. The liposomes in the composition are characterized by: (a) liposome sizes in a selected size range between about 0.07 and 0.4 microns; (b) substantially homogenous-phase liposome bilayers composed of at least about 50 mole percent of a membrane-rigidifying lipid, and (c) between about 5-20 mole percent of a

10

15

20

25

30

glycolipid component selected from the group consisting of ganglioside GM1, hydrogenated phosphatidylinositol, and sulfatide, i.e., sulfate esters of lactocerebrocidesmonogalactosyl. The lipophilic moiety of the glycolipid serves to anchor the component in the liposome bilayers, without phase separation therein.

The liposomes show enhanced tissue distribution, as measured by the ratio of liposomal marker present in the blood to the combined amount of marker in the liver and spleen, when measured 2, 4, and 24 hours after intravenous liposome administration. Preferably, blood/RES ratio, when measured 2 hours after administration, is substantially greater than the sum of the blood/RES ratios obtained with similarly constructed liposome compositions containing in one case, at least about 50 mole percent of the membrane rigidifying lipid, but not the glycolipid, and in the other case, between 5-20 mole percent of the glycolipid, but not the membranerigidifying lipid.

In one preferred embodiment, the membrane-rigidifying lipid is a combination of sphingomyelin and a neutral phospholipid, such as phosphatidylcholine (PC), in a preferred molar ratio of between about 2:1 and 4:1. In another embodiment, the lipid is a saturated PC, with or without cholesterol.

The method of the invention is designed for extending the bloodstream lifetime of drug-containing liposomes which are administered intravenously in a suspension of liposomes whose sizes are in a selected size range between about 0.07-0.4 microns. The method includes preparing the liposomes to contain (a) at least about 50 mole percent of a membrane-rigidifying lipid in ::::the::liposome bilayers, which are substantially phase-homogeneous, and (b) between about 5-20 mole

20

25

30

percent of a glycolipid component selected from the group consisting of ganglioside GM1, hydrogenated phosphatidylinositol, and sulfatide.

The method gives significantly enhanced drug uptake in tumors, when administered intravenously to a tumor-bearing subject. The method is therefore useful in administering an anti-tumor compound for the treatment of the tumor by intravenous administration.

These and other objects and features of the invention will become more fully apparenet when the following detailed description of the invention is read in conjunction with the accompany figures.

Brief Description of the Figures

Figure 1 shows the time course of decrease of blood/RES ratios in a test subject injected IV with (1) liposomes containing ganglioside GM1, but not SM (solid circles) and (2) with liposomes containing both GM1 and SM (open circles);

Figures 2A and 2B show the effect of increasing molar amounts of GM₁ on percent injected liposome marker in blood (circles), liver (triangles), and spleen (squares) two hours post injection, in liposomes containing either PC:CH, 2:1 (A) or SM:PC, 4:1 (B); and

Figures 3A and 3B show linear regression plots of different liposome formulations for (A) liposomal . marker in the RES versus the marker in the bloodstream, and (B) liposomal marker in the J6456 tumor (solid triangles) and B-16 tumor (solid circles) versus amount in the bloodstream; and

Figure 4 shows the glycolipids ganglioside GM_1 (A), hydrogenated phosphatidylinositol (B) and monogalactosyl stearate sulfatide (C) which are components of the liposome compositions of the invention.

Detailed Description of the Invention

The liposome composition of the invention is designed for delivering a drug or other agent, such as nutritional supplements, vitamins, or chelated metal, to a subject via the bloodstream, and for relatively slow uptake of the liposomes by the RES, allowing the drug or agent to be released from the liposomes into the bloodstream over an extended period of several hours or more. Alternatively, the composition is designed, by appropriate surface modification of the liposomes, for targeting via the bloodstream to non-RES target tissues, to allow the drug or agent to concentrate in the immediate region of the target tissue.

Section IA below describes the general method used to evaluate liposome uptake by the RES <u>in vivo</u>, section IB, the combination of liposome components which have been found, according to one aspect of the invention, to give high blood circulation times for intravenously injected liposomes, and section IC, methods for preparing, sizing, and sterilizing drugcontaining liposomes designed for intravenous administration. The utility of the liposome composition, in drug delivery and drug targeting, is discussed in Section II.

25

15

20

I. Preparing the Liposomal Composition

A. Measuring liposome uptake by the RES <u>in vivo</u>

The method used for evaluating liposome circulation time <u>in vivo</u> measures the distribution of intravenously injected liposomes in the bloodstream and the
primary organs of the RES at selected times after injection. In the standardized model which is used, RES
uptake is measured by the ratio of total liposomes in

10

15

20

the bloodstream to total liposomes in the liver and spleen, the principal organs of the RES. In practice, age and sex matched mice are injected intravenously (IV) through the tail vein with a radiolabeled liposome composition, and each time point is determined by measuring total blood and combined liver and spleen radiolabel counts, and in many studies, complete dissection, weighing, and radioactivity determinations of all body parts was done. Total blood counts are calculated by assuming that the total blood volume makes up 7% of the animal's body weight. Experimental methods are detailed in Example 2.

Since the liver and spleen account for nearly 100 % of the initial uptake of liposomes by the RES, the blood/RES ratio just described provides a good approximation of the extent of uptake from the blood to the RES in vivo. For example, a ratio of about 1 or greater indicates a predominance of injected liposomes remaining in the bloodstream, and a ratio below about 1, a predominance of liposomes in the RES. For most of the lipid compositions of interest, blood/RES ratios were calculated at 2, 4, and 24 hours.

25 animals of interest. This is because, as mentioned above, uptake of liposomes by liver and spleen has been found to occur at similar rates in several mammalian species, including mouse, rat monkey, and human (Gregoriadis, 1974; Jonah; Kimelberg, 1976; Juliano; Richardson; Lopez-Berestein). This result likely reflects the fact that the biochemical factors which appear to be most important in liposome uptake by the RES--including opsinization by serum lipo proteins, size-dependent uptake effects, and cell shielding by

surface moieties are common features of all mammalian species which have been examined.

B. Lipid Components

The lipid components used in forming the liposomes of the present invention are selected to meet three important criteria:

First, a major portion of the lipids, i.e., more than 50 mole percent, are neutral

- membrane-rigidifying components, by which is meant uncharged lipid components which produce relatively rigid, close-packed lipid bilayer structures. Typically, the lipids are predominantly saturated lipids whose phase transition temperature (T_C) is above about
- 25°C, and preferably between about 30°C and 50°C. Preferred membrane-rigidifying lipids include SM (T_C about 30°C) and neutral phospholipids, such as PC whose acyl chains are predominantly saturated. One saturated PC which has been investigated extensively herein is distearoyl PC (DSPC) whose T_C is about 50°C.
- Secondly, and according to an important feature of the invention, the lipid components must contain
- a negatively charged glycolipid which has a single negative charge which appears to be partially shielded,
 i.e., inaccessible to certain types of charge-charge
 - binding interactions, on the outer surface of the liposomes. To date, three glycolipids which have this feature, as evidenced by high blood/RES ratios achieved with the liposome foirmulation, have been identified.
- These are ganglioside GM1, hydrogenated phophatidylinositol (HPI), and sulfatides, i.e., sulfate esters of galactocerebrosides. These three compounds
- are shown in Figures 1A-1C, respectively, where the R group in the GM1 and sulfate compounds are long-chain

10

15

20

25

30

hydrocarbons. The particular sulfatide shown in the figure is cerebronic acid.

Thirdly, the above lipid components must produce a substantially homogeneous-phase bilayer structure, by which is meant that the critical membrane rigidifying and negatively charged lipid components exist in a single phase, as opposed to discrete phases which are physically separate. As will be seen, this requirement both enhances blood/RES values ochievable with the liposomes, and also minimizes rapid liposome breakdown and leakage in the bloodstream.

The importance of membrane-rigidying lipid components in combination with one of the selected glycolipids can be appreciated from blood/RES data presented in Examples 3, 4, 8 and 9. Table 1 in Example 3 shows several liposome compositions, and corresponding blood/RES values measured 2 hours post injection. comparison of compositions 3 and 4 in the table shows the large increase in blood/RES values which are obtained by addition of cholesterol to liposomes containing egg PC and GM1. Cholesterol is known to have a rigidifying or "packing" effect on relatively fluid lipids, such as egg PC, which has a Tc of about 0°C. More importantly, for purposes of the present invention, a comparison of compositions 3 and 4 with compositions 12 and 13 indicate that GM1 in combination with SM gives much higher blood/RES values than the ganglioside in combination with PC. Here it is noted, with reference to compositions 17 and 18, that cholesterol decreases blood/RES ratios in SM:GM1 formulations, presumably because of the known fluidizing effect of cholesterol in some rigid-lipid compositions.

The effect of subsituting DSPC, i.e., a saturated-chain PC, for egg PC in some of the Table 1

25

30

formulations is seen in Table 2 from Example 4. Clearly DSPC and GM₁ together effectively increase blood/RES values, an effect which is enhanced still further by addition of SM to the compositions, with highest ratios being observed with the highest relative amounts of SM:PC. Note that cholesterol appears to have less of a fluidizing effect, as evidenced by blood/RES ratios, in the presence of DSPC.

The effect of saturated lipids on blood/RES values achievable with HPI is seen in Table 5 and 6, 10 where compositions containing PI (unsaturated PI) in PC:CH and HPI in unsaturated PC (DOPC):CH are compared with compositions containing HPI:DSPC:CH. The blood/RES ratio of the saturated composition is substantially higher than that of the unsaturated compositions (either 15 unsaturated PI or PC). Note also that the saturated composition is more stable in the bloodstream than the two compositions containing unsaturated PI or PC, as evidenced by the greater total recovery after 24 hours. 20 The requirement for saturated PI, e.g., HPI, is to prevent phase separation of the PI component in the rigid-lipid bilayer structure.

The effect of saturated lipids on the blood/RES ratio of sulfatide containing liposomes can be appreciated from a comparison of the blood/RES data in Table 6. As seen, the blood/RES ratio at 24 hours increases from about 15 fold when SULF is used in combination with saturated lipid components. As defined herein, sulfatide includes ios

Example 8 examines blood/RES ratios in several liposome compositions 4 hours post injection. The results are consistent with the above results seen at 2 hours post injection: liposomes containing GM1, when combined with DSPC and CH, in the presence or absence of

10

15

30

SM, give much higher blood/RES values than in liposomes containing egg PC plus CH. The data here also indicate that HPI, in the presence of saturated phospholipid (DSPC) and cholesterol, also gives high blood/RES values.

Table 6 from Example 9 shows blood/RES values for a number of liposome compositions, as measured 24 hours post injection. The compositions are arranged according to increasing blood/RES values. It is apparent first that blood/RES values have declined significantly between 4 and 24 hours, but that the best formulations still show relatively good blood/RES values, e.g., 0.3 or higher, after 24 hours. These formulations include SULF, HPI, and GM1 in combination with saturated PC (DSPC) plus cholesterol, in the rpresence or absence of SM.

Considering now the glycolipid components of the liposomes, the above-discussed data, and particularly the comparative data from Table 6, indicate that GM1, HPI, and SULF are all effective, in combination with membrane-rigidifying components, in producing high blood/RES ratios. Studies conducted in support of the invention compare these three glycolipids, and their effect on blood/RES ratios, with a variety of related glycolipids or phospholipids.

Table 3 from Example 7 shows blood/RES values for PC:CH and SM:PC compositions containing one of a variety of different glycolipids and negatively charged phospholipids. The abbreviations and pertinent structural features of the glycolipids are detailed in Example 7. Of the several lipid components which were investigated only GM1 or GM1 in combination with GAL or GLU gave high blood/RES values in PC:CH liposomes. In

10

15

20

25

30

SM:PC liposomes, SULF gave a relatively high blood/RES value.

The blood/RES values of SM:PC liposomes containing one of several different gangliosides, as detailed in Example 7, was also investigated. As seen from Table 4, removing one or more end sugar groups from GM1 (GM2 and GM3), removing the sialic acid residue form GM1 (ASGM1), or the presence of more than one sialic acid residue (GD1a or GT1b) substantially eliminates the blood/RES enhancement effect of GM1.

In Table 5, it is seen that GM1, SULF, and HPI all give comparable blood/RES values (about 1) in PC:CH liposome, whereas GM1 and HPI (SULF was not tested) gave highest values with rigid-lipid components. These three components gave the highest blood/RES values in saturated lipid formulations, as noted above.

Figures 2A and 2B are plots of blood/RES values as a function of GM1 mole ratio in two different liposome formulations. The first, shown in Figure 2A, is a PC:CH formulation (which gives suboptimal blood/RES ratios), and the second, an SM:PC formulation. As discussed in Example 6, only the latter formulation shows a strong GM1 effect. As seen, the optimal concentration of GM1 is between 5-15 mole percent. The effect of high glycolipid concentration on blood/RES ratios is seen in Example 10, which examines 4 and 24 hour blood/RES ratios for liposomes containing DSPC:CH or PC;CH and increasing molar concentrations of HPI. Molar ratios of HPI above about 25% substantially eliminated the enhanced blood/RES values seen at concentrations of about 16 mole percent or below.

The results discussed above suggest that the glycolipids which are most effective in enhancing blood/RES values, in combination with

10

20

25

30

membrane-rigidifying components, share two features. The first is a single negative charge, which may be associated with sialic acid, as in GM1, with a phosphate polar group, as in HPI, or with a terminal galactosyl group, as in sulfate. One possible role of the charged group is to reduce liposomal aggregation, either in vitro or in vivo. None of the uncharged glycolipids, including CTRI, DGDG, MGDG, GAL, GLOB, ASGM1, or GLU, and none of the glycolipids which were doubly or triply charged, gave high blood/RES ratios.

A second requisite feature appears to be charge shielding which may prevent direct protein and/or calcium-ion interactions with the glycolipid charged In the case of GM1, the charged sialic group is shielded potentially by the two terminal sugar groups (see Figure 4A). Interestingly, GM2, which has one potential shielding sugar residue, does not enhance ... blood/RES values, suggesting that both sugar residues are required. In HPI, the negatively charged phosphate group is shielded by a terminal inositol residue. is in contrast to PA where the charged phosphate acid group would be expected to be exposed, and PG, where the charged phosphate group is shielded by a relatively small glycerol residue. Here it is noted that PG, which does have some charge shielding, gives blood/RES values which are intermediate between HPI and PA. In the case of sulfatide glycolipids, the relatively high blood/RES values indicate that the negatively charged sulfate group on the galactosyl residue (Figure 4C) is partially buried or shielded on the liposome surface. trast, cholesterol sulfate, which would be expected to have exposed sulfate groups, gives low blood/RES values. The apparent requirement for charge shielding may also explain why doubly and triply charged glycolipids, such

10

15

20

25

as GD_{la} and GT_{lb} give relatively poor blood/RES ratios, since at least one charge would be expected to be unshielded.

The requirement that the lipid components form a homogeneous-phase bilayer is aimed, in part, at ensuring good liposome stability in the bloodstream over a period of 24 hours or longer. Bilayer phase homogeneity should also contribute to improved blood/RES values, since liposome instability would be expected to enhance RES uptake. This may explain, for example, why the SM:GM1 formulation has a relatively low blood/RES ratio after 24 hours (Table 6), yet gives a very high ratio after 2 hours (Table 1). The data from both tables indicate that this formulation is quite unstable and/or leaky in the bloodstream, as detailed in Example 9.

Phase inhomogeneity may also explain why PI is less effective than HPI in combination with DSPC:CH mixtures in enhancing blood/RES ratios, since HPI would be expected to be more phase-compatible (more similar $T_{\rm C}$) with saturated PC.

In addition to the membrane-rigidifying agents and gangliosides required in the liposome composition, the liposomes may be formulated to include other neutral vesicle-forming lipids which do not significantly compromise the RES-evasion properties of the liposomes. An obvious example is cholesterol which is used in many of the above formulations above, at a mole ratio of about 30%.

The liposomes may also include protective agents such alpha-tocopherol, or other free-radical inhibitors, to minimize oxidative damage to the liposomes and/or entrapped drug carried in the liposomes.

10

15

20

C. Preparing the Liposome Composition

The liposomes may be prepared by a variety of techniques, such as those detailed in Szoka et al, 1980. One preferred method for preparing drug-containing liposomes is the reverse phase evaporation method described by Szoka et al and in U.S. Patent No. 4,235,871. In this method, a solution of liposomeforming lipids is mixed with a smaller volume of an aqueous medium, and the mixture is dispersed to form a water-in-oil emulsion. The drug or other pharmaceutical agent to be delivered is added either to the lipid solution, in the case of a lipophilic drug, or to the aqueous medium, in the case of a water-soluble drug. Here it is noted that all lipid and aqueous components should preferably be sterile and pyrogen free. After removing the lipid solvent by evaporation, the resulting gel is converted to liposomes, with an encapsulation efficiency, for a water-soluble drug, of up to 50%. reverse phase evaporation vesicles (REVs) have typical average sizes between about 2-4 microns and are predominantly oligolamellar, that is, contain one or a few lipid bilayer shells. The method is detailed in Example 1A.

25 below, by extrusion to give oligolamellar vesicles having a maximum selected size preferably between about 0.08 to 0.4 microns. Experiments conducted in support of the present invention indicate that sized oligolamellar vesicles of this type show substantially higher blood/RES ratios than similar sized multilamellar vesicles (MLVs), and that smaller REVs, e.g., 0.16-0.17 micron sizes, give higher ratios than larger REVs, e.g., 0.4 microns. Another advantage of REVs is the high ratio of encapsulated drug to lipid which is possible,

10

15

allowing greater drug doses to be administered in a given lipid dose.

MLVs, where desired, can be formed by simple lipid-film hydration techniques. In this procedure, a mixture of liposome-forming lipids of the type detailed above dissolved in a suitable solvent is evaporated in a vessel to form a thin film, which is then covered by an aqueous medium. The lipid film hydrates to form MLVs, typically with sizes between about 0.1 to 10 microns. These vesicles, when unsized, show relatively poor blood/RES ratios, as seen in Table 9, for the unextruded MLV composition. Typically, MLVs are sized down to a desired size range of 0.5 or less, and preferably between about 0.07 and 0.12 microns by extrusion, as detailed below.

One effective sizing method for REVs and MLVs involves extruding an aqueous suspension of the liposomes through a polycarbonate membrane having a selected uniform pore size, typically 0.05, 0.08, 0.1, 20 0.2, or 0.4 microns (Szoka). The pore size of the membrane corresponds roughly to the largest sizes of liposomes produced by extrusion through that membrane, particularly where the preparation is extruded two or more times through the same membrane. This method of 25 liposome sizing is used in preparing homogeneous-size REV and MLV compositions described in the examples below. A more recent method involves extrusion through an asymmetric ceramic filter. The method is detailed in U.S. patent application for Liposome Extrusion Method, 30 Serial # 829,710, filed February 13, 1986.

Alternatively, the REV or MLV preparations can be treated to produce small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs) which are characterized by sizes in the 0.04-0.08 micron range. However, as indicated above, SUVs have a

relatively small internal volume, for delivery of water-soluble drugs, and they tend to fuse to form larger heterogeneous size liposomes with heterodisperse drug leakage and RES uptake characteristics, and are leakier than REVs or MLVs. SUVs can be produced readily by homogenizing or sonicating REVs or MLVs, as described in Example 1C.

After final sizing, the liposomes can be treated, if necessary, to remove free (non-entrapped) drug. Conventional separation techniques, such as centrifugation, diafiltration, and molecular-sieve chromatography are suitable. The composition can be sterilized by filtration through a conventional 0.45 micron depth filter.

15

10

II. Utility

The significantly increased circulation half
life of liposomes constructed as above can be exploited
in two general types of therapeutic or diagnostic
liposome compositions. The first composition is
designed for sustained release of a liposome-associated
agent into the bloodstream by circulating liposomes. As
seen above, liposomes constructed according to the
invention can be maintained predominantly in the
bloodstream up to 24 hours, and therefore sustained
released of the drug at physiologically effective levels
for up to about 1 day or more can be achieved.

One measure of increased drug availability in the bloodstream is the increased area under the curve (AUC) seen with high blood/RES liposomes. The AUC measurement is made, as described in Example 9, by measuring liposome marker—levels over: a a 24 hour period, for both blood and liverplevels. The ratio of these AUC values then shows the extent to total liposome

10

15

20

25

30

availability has been shifted from the liver to the bloodstream. Table 7 in Example 7 demonstrates that high blood/RES values are correlated with high AUC ratios.

The extended lifetime of the liposomes in the bloodstream also makes it possible for a significant fraction of the injected liposomes to reach the target site before being removed from the bloodstream by the RES. In particular, it is desired to target tumor tissue for drug treatment by intravenous administration to a tumor-bearing subject.

The use of the liposome composition of the invention for targeting animal tumors is detailed in Examples 11-13. Briefly summarizing the results, liposomes with increased blood/RES ratios produced a 10-30 fold enhancement in tumor uptake over free drug. Tumor uptake peaked at 24 hours post administration, although high levels of drug in the tumor were seen between 4 and 48 hours post administrations. Details of the treatment methods are given in the examples.

A variety of drugs or other pharmacologically active agents are suitable for delivery by the liposome composition. One general class of drugs include watersoluble, liposome-permeable compounds which are characterized by a tendency to partition preferentially into the aqueous compartments of the liposome suspension, and to equilibrate, over time, between the inner liposomal spaces and outer bulk phase of the suspension. Representative drugs in this class include terbutaline, albuterol, atropine methyl nitrate, cromolyn sodium, propranalol, flunoisolide, ibuprofin, gentamycin, tobermycin, pentamidine, penicillin, theophylline, bleomycin, etoposide, captoprel, n-acetyl cysteine, verapamil, vitamins, and radio-opaque and

10

15

20

particle-emitter agents, such as chelated metals. Because of the tendency of these agents to equilibrate with the aqueous composition of the medium, it is preferred to store the liposome composition in lyophilized form, with rehydration shortly before administration. Alternatively, the composition may be prepared in concentrated form, and diluted shortly before administration. The latter approach is detailed in US. Patent application for "Liposome Concentrate and Method", Serial No. 860,528, filed May 7, 1986.

A second general class of drugs are those which are water-soluble, but liposome-impermeable. For the most part, these are peptide or protein molecules, such as peptide hormones, enzymes, enzyme inhibitors, apolipoproteins, and higher molecular weight carbohydrates are characterized by long-term stability of encapsulation. Representative compounds in this class include calcitonin, atriopeptin, *a*-l_antitrypsin (protease inhibitor), interferon, oxytocin, vasopressin, insulin, interleukin-2, superoxide dismutase, tissue plasminogen activator (TPA), plasma factor 8, epidermal growth factor, tumor necrosis factor, lung surfactant protein, interferon, lipocortin, *a*-interferon and erythropoetin.

A third class of drugs are lipophilic molecules which tend to partition into the lipid bilayer phase of the liposomes, and which are therefore associated with the liposomes predominantly in a membrane-entrapped form. The drugs in this class are defined by an oil/water partition coefficient, as measured in a standard oil/water mixture such as octanol/water, of greater than 1 and preferably greater than about 5. Representative drugs include prostaglandins, amphotericin B, progesterone, isosorbide

10

15

20

25

30

dinitrate, testosterone, nitroglycerin, estradiol, doxorubicin, beclomethasone and esters, vitamin E, cortisone, dexamethasone and esters, and betamethasone valerete.

For sustained drug-release via the bloodstream, the liposome composition is administered intravenously in an amount which provides a suitable drug dosage over the expected delivery time, typically 12-24 hours. The injection may be given as a single bolus or slowly by i.v. drip, to allow gradual dispersal of the liposomes from the site of injection.

Where it is desired to target the liposomes to a selected non-RES tissue site, the liposomes are preferably designed for surface recognition of target—site molecules. For example, in the case of targeting to a solid tumor, the liposomes may be prepared with surface—bound tumor recognition molecules, such as anti—bodies directed against tumor—specific antigens. Methods for coupling molecules of this type are wellknown to those in the field. These methods generally involve incorporation into the liposomes of lipid components, such as phosphatidylethanolamine, which can be activated for attachment of surface agents, or derivatized lipophilic compounds, such as lipidderivatized bleomycin.

In one particular liposome composition which is useful for radioimaging of solid tumor regions, the liposomes are prepared with encapsulated radio-opaque or particle-emission metal, typically in a chelated form which substantially prevents permeation through the liposome bilayer, and carrying surface-bound bleomycin molecules, for preferential liposome attachment to tumor sites.

30

The following examples illustrate methods of preparing liposomes with enhanced circulation times, and for accessing circulation times in vivo and in vitro.

The examples are intended to illustrate specific liposome compositions and methods of the invention, but are in no way intended to limit the scope thereof.

Ceramides (CER), cholesterol (CH), monogalactosyl-sterate sulfatides (SULF), 10 galactocerebrosides (GAL), glucocerebrosides (GLU), and lactosylceremide (LAC) were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Sphingomyelin (SM), egg phosphatidylcholine (lecithin) (PC), phosphatidylinositol (PI), hydrogenated phosphatidylinositol (HPI), phosphatidyl-15 serine (PS), phosphatidylglycerol (PG), phosphatidic acid (PA), phosphatidylethanolamine (PE), dipalmitoylphosphatidyl glycerol (DPPG), dipalmitoyl PC (DPPC), dioleyl PC (DOPC) and distearoyl PC (DSPC) were obtained from Avanti Polar Lipids (Birmingham, AL). Globosides 20 (GLOB), digalactosyl diglyceride (DGDG), monosialoganglioside (GM_1) , ganglioside GM_2 (GM_2) , ganglioside GM3 (GM3), trisialoganglioside (GT1b), and disialoganglioside (GD_{la}) were obtained from Supelco (Bellefonte, PA). 25

[125] tyraminyl-inulin was made according to published procedures. 67Gallium-8-hydroxyquinoline was supplied by NEN Neoscan (Boston, MA); doxorubicin (adriamycin), from Adria (Columbus, OH), and bleomycin, from Bristol Myers (Syracuse, NY).

15

30

Example 1

Preparation of REVs, MLVs and SUVs

This example describes the preparation of reverse phase evaporation vesicles (REVs), multilamellar vesicles (MLVs) and small unilamellar vesicles (SUVs).

Sized REVs Α.

A total of 50 mg of the selected lipid components, in the mole ratios indicated in the examples below, were dissolved in 5 ml of diethyl ether. aqueous buffer containing 13 mM phosphate, 140 mM NaCl, pH 7.4 was added to the organic solvent to a final volume of 6.5 ml, and the mixture was emulsified by sonication for 1 minute, maintaining the temperature of the solution at or below room temperature. Where the liposomes were prepared to contain encapsulated [125] - --- tyraminyl-inulin, such was included in the phosphate buffer at a concentration of about 4 μCi/ml buffer.

The ether solvent was removed under reduced 20 pressure at room temperature, and the resulting gel was taken up in 1 ml of the above buffer, and shaken vigorously. The resulting REV suspension had particle sizes, as determined by microscopic examination, of between about 0.1 to 20 microns, and was composed predominantly 25 of relatively large (greater than 1 micron) vesicles having one or only a few bilayer lamellae.

The liposomes were extruded twice through a polycarbonate filter (Szoka, 1978), having a selected pore size of 0.4 microns or 0.2 microns. Liposomes extruded through the 0.4 micron filter averaged 0.17 (0.05) micron diameters, and through the 0.2 micron filter, 0.16 (0.05) micron diameters. Non-encapsulated [125] tyraminyl-inulin was removed by passing the extruded liposomes through Sephadex G-50 (Pharmacia).

B. MLVs

A total of 10-100 mg of the selected lipid components were dissolved in chloroform: methanol (2:1). The dissolved lipid was roto-evaporated to a thin film, 5 then hydrated with an aqueous physiological buffer containing the solute, e.g., desferal or bleomycin, to be encapsulated. MLVs formed on gentle shaking for 1-2 hours. Non-encapsulated solute was removed by gel fil-Examination of the MLVs showed heterogeneous 10 sizes between about 0.1 to 20 microns, and a predominance of multilayered structures. The MLV's were sized by extrusion through a double polycarbonate membrane having a selected pore size. The membrane pore size was typically 0.05 micron for low phase transition (fluid) 15 liposome formulations, and 0.08 micron for high phase transition (rigid) liposome formulations. cases, liposome sizes, as measured by laser light scattering, were predominantly in the 0.07-0.12 micron size range. When high phase transition lipids were used, 20 rehydration and extrusion were carried at at 50°-60° C.

C. SUVs

above was sonicated by ultrasonic irradiation using a 1/2 inch saphire-bonded probe, with pulse sonication during 15 minute intervals, under optimal output conditions. Sonication was carried out under a stream of nitrogen with the liposome vessel immersed in ice water.

The sonicated vesicles were passed through Sephadex G-50 to remove released, free marker. Liposome sizes were predominantly in the 0.03-0.08 micron size range.

10

15

20

25

30

Example 2 Measuring Blood/RES Levels

Age- and sex-matched mice, typically 20-25 g, were given intravenous injections of liposome suspensions or saline by injection into the tail vein. total amount of material injected was about 0.5 mg phospholipid in a total injection volume of 0.2 ml. selected time intervals following injection, the animals were sacrificed by cervical dislocation, blood samples were taken from the heart, and the liver and spleen were removed. The liver and spleen were blot dried, weighed and separately counted directly by gamma scintillation counting. A correction factor was applied to account for blood remaining in the liver and spleen. An aliquot of the blood sample was similarly counted by direct gamma counting. Total blood counts were calculated on the basis of a total blood volume of 7% body weight. The blood/RES ratio was calculated as total blood counts/total counts of the liver and spleen, and was frequently determined for several other tissues.

The blood/RES ratios measured over time were corrected for loss of liposomal radiolabel by multiplying the measured blood/RES ratio by the percent of the total counts remaining in vivo at each time point with respect to liposomal counts measured immediately after injection.

Example 3

Relationship Between Lipid Composition and Blood/RES

REVs sized to 0.17 microns and having the liposome composition and mole ratios indicated at the left in Table 1 were prepared as in Example 1. The SM used for the reported studies was bovine brain sphingomyelin, whose hydrocarbon-chain moieties include

a mixture of partially unsaturated chains. The liposomes were injected IV, and the injected animals were sacrificed two hours after injection. The table shows liposome sizes, blood/RES ratios, calculated as above, and percent of total of the total encapsulated marker (inulin) recovered at 2 hours post injection.

10	Table 1						
	<u>Composition</u>	Size (µm)	Blood/RES	% remaining _in_vivo			
	1 PC	0.1.7	0.010				
	2 PC:CH,2:1	0.17 0.17	0.010 ± 0.005	86.0 ± 5.4			
	3 PC:GM1,1:0.07	0.17	0.13 ± 0.08	78.1 ± 0.04			
15	4 PC:CH:GM1,2:1:0.14	0.17		79.4 ± 5.9			
		• •	1.7 ± 0.5	75.6 ± 5.7			
	5 PC:CH:ASGM1,2:1:0.14 6 DSPC	•	0.62 ± 0.44	64.8 ± 1.6			
	-	0.17					
::*		0.17		101.2 ± 2.4			
- • -	8 DSPC:GM ₁ ,1:0.07	0.17	2.0 ± 0.02	.76.7 ± 3.1			
20	9 DSPC:CH:GM1,2:1:0.14	0.17	3.2 ± 1.0	64.6 ± 3.5			
20	10 SM	0.17	0.02 ± 0.01	27.1 ± 3.1			
	11 SM:CH,2:1	0.17	0.7 ± 0.2	71.9 ± 4.4			
	12 SM:GM ₁ ,1:0.07	0.17	5.7 ± 1.8	12.4 ± 0.7			
	13 SM:CH:GM1,2:1:0.14	0.17	4.6 ± 0.6	72.1 ± 1.5			
	14 SM:PC,4:1	0.17	0.6 ± 0.2	69.0 ± 3.3			
	15 SM:PC:CH,4:1:3	0.17	0.12 ± 0.06	69.9 ± 2.2			
25	16 SM:PC:CH:SULF,4:1:3:0.	.35 0.17	0.43 ± 0.21	78.4 ± 1.4			
•	17 SM:PC:GM1,4:1:0.35	0.16	3.3 ± 0.3	61.8 ± 2.9			
	18 SM:PC:CH:GM1,4:1:3:0.3	0.16	1.5 ± 0.6	88.5 ± 3.0			
	19 SM:PC:ASGM1,4:1:0.35	0.16	0.9 ± 0.5	80.3 ± 2.5			

30

The blood/RES ratio data indicated that:

1. Ganglioside GM1 in liposomes also containing...a membrane-rigidifying component, such as

10

15

25

30

cholesterol (composition 4), DSPC (composition 8), and SM (compositions 12, 17), or combinations of these lipid components (compositions 9 and 13) gave much higher blood/RES ratios than liposomes containing membrane rigidying components without GM1 (compositions 6, 7, 10, 11, 14, or 15), or liposomes containing GM1 but without membrane rigidifying components (composition 3).

2. Substituting asilaoganglioside (ASGM₁) for GM₁ in liposomes (composition 19 versus composition 17) largely abolished the GM₁ enhancement effect on blood/RES ratios.

The percent total recovery data show two SM formulations (compositions 10 and 12) which have relatively poor persistence in the body, presumably because of poor liposome stability and rapid clearance of the released encapsulated marker.

Example 4

20 <u>Effect of DSPC on Blood/RES</u>

Sized REVs having the five lipid compositions shown at the left in Table 2, were prepared as above. The compositions are similar to several of the Table 1 compositions, except that disteroyl PC (DSPC) has been substituted for the relatively more unsaturated egg PC (PC). Blood/RES ratios were determined two hours after IV administration as in Example 3, with the results shown at the right in Table 2. A comparison of blood/RES vaues for comparable compositions in Tables 1 and 2 indicates that:

1. Substituting DSPC for PC in an SM:PC:GM₁ composition (composition 2 in Table 2 versus composition 17 in Table 1) significantly enhances the blood/RES ratio.

2. Substituting DSPC for PC in the SM:PC:CH:GM1 composition (composition 5 in Table 2 versus composition 18 in Table 1) also enhances the blood/RES ratio.

5

Table 2

	Liposome composition	Blood/RES ratio
•	DSPC:GM1, 5:0.35	1.8
10	SM:DSPC:GM ₁ , 4:1:0.35	4.9
	SM:DSPC:GM1, 1:1:0.14	3.7
	SM:DSPC:GM1, 1:4:0.35	3.I
	SM:DSPC:CH:GM ₁ , 4:1:3:0.35	3.7

15

Example 5

Time Course of Blood/RES over 24 Hours

Blood/RES ratios for two liposome compositions Sized (0.17 micron) REVs containing

(1) PC:CH:GM₁, 2:1:0.14 (composition 4), and (2)

SM:PC:GM1, 4:1:0.35 (composition 17) were examined for blood/RES values at 2, 6 and 24 hours post injection. The behavior of the two formulations over a 24 hour period is shown in Figure 1 for composition 4 (solid circles) and composition 17 (open circles).

As seen, composition 1 was substantially completely removed after 2 hours, whereas composition 2 retains a significant level in the blood even at 24 hours post injection.

30

25

Example 6

Effect of Ganglioside Concentration on Blood/RES

Sized MLVs (0.17 microns) containing encapsulated inulin were prwpared as above. The liposome compositions contained either PC:CH, 2:1 (Figure 2A), or

10

SM:PC, 4:1 (Figure 2B) and increasing molar amounts of GM1, including 0, 2.5, 5.0, 7.5, and 10, 12 and 15 mole percent. The tissue distribution of the liposome label in liver (triangles), spleen (squares), and blood (circles) were determined, as above, two hours post IV administration.

With reference to to Figure 2A, increasing molar amounts GM1 increased blood levels two hours post injection to at most about 10% of total injected counts in liposomes containing 5 and 7.5 mole percent ganglioside. At the same time, the lowest levels of liver uptake which were observed, also at 5 and 7.5 mole percent ganglioside, were greater than 30% of total injected counts.

By contrast, with reference to Figure 2B, increasing amounts of GM₁ above about 2.5 mole percent increased the percent counts in the blood to above 50%, while total liver uptake fell to less than about 10%. The results demonstrate the importance of

membrane-rigidifying components--in this case, SM-- to the effect of GM₁ on blood/RES ratios. The results also show that optimal GM₁ concentrations of in the liposomes are between about 7.5 and 15.0 mole percent.

25

30

Example 7

Effect of Sugar and Negatively Charged Groups on Blood/RES

Sized REVs having one of the 18 different compositions shown in Table 3 were prepared, to determine the effect of various sugar and/or negatively charged groups on blood/RES levels 2 hours after liposome administration. Each of the compositions contained PC:CH, 2:1 and 0.2 mole percent of one or more glycolipid or negatively charged lipid. The various charged and/or

glycolipids used were: monogalactosyl-stearate, sulfatide (SULF) having a charged sulfate group on the galactose residue (Figure 5c); phosphatidylserine (PS); phosphatidic acid (PA), ceramide trihexosides, (CTRI), an uncharged glycolipid with three hexose units; 5 digalactosyl diglyceride (DGDG), an uncharged glycolipid with two galactosyl residues; monogalactosyl diglyceride (MGDG), an uncharged glycolipid with a single galactosyl residue; galactocerebrosides (GAL), an uncharged glycolipid with a single galactosyl residue; 10 globosides (GLOB), an uncharged glycolipid with 4 sugar residues; asialoganglioside (ASGM1); and glucocerebroside (GLU), an uncharged glycolipid with a single glucose residue.

The molar compositions, and blood/RES ratios measured for 2 hours post injection are given in Table 3 below. In the compositions containing PC and CH, only GM1 or GAL or GLU in combination with GM1 gave blood/RES values which were significantly greater than that given by PC:CH liposomes. PS and PA both decreased blood/RES ratios, with PS producing an extreme reduction in blood/RES.

In the compositions containing SM and PC, monogalactosyl-stearate (SULF) gave values comparable to the PC:CH:GM1 formulations.

Table 3

	Lipid composition*	size_	blood/RES, 2 hrs post injection	-
_	PC:CH, 2:1	0.1 μ	0.68±0.15	53.3±6.6
5	PC:CH:SULF, 2:12:0.2	0.1 μ	0.54±0.22	63.3±1.3
	PC:CH:PS, 2:1:0.2	0.1 μ	0.02±0.00	79.2±7.2
	PC:CH:PA, 2:1:0.2	0.1 µ	0.09±0.01	62.6±2.2
	PC:CH:CTRI, 2:1:0.2	0.1 μ	0.15±0.02	81.7±2.3
	PC:CH:DGDG, 2:1:0.2	0.1 μ	0.74±0.44	43.2±7.2
	PC:CH:MGDG, 2:1:0.2	0.1 μ	0.31±0.08	67.4±3.2
10	PC:CH:GAL, 2:1:0.2	0.1 μ	1.01±0.63	8.7±0.2
	PC:CH:GLOB, 2:1:02	0.1 μ	0.08±0.03	74.2±2.1
	PC:CH:ASG _{M1} , 2:1:0.2	0.1 μ	0.46±0.01	75.2±8.1
	PC:CH:GLU, 2:1:0.2	0.1 µ	0.72±0.21	84.6±12.1
	PC:CH:G _{Ml} , 2:1:0.2	0.1 μ	4.3±1.1	75.9±3.1
	PC:CH:GAL:GM1, 2:1:0.2	0.1 μ	5.95±1.42	56.8±5.0
15	PC:CH:GLU:GM1, 2:1:0.2	0.1 μ	3.98±0.91	81.3±3.3
	SM:PC, 1:1	0.2 μ	2.14±1.36	72.05±5.57
	SM:PC:SULF, 1:1:0.2	0.2 μ	3.08±3.41	82.4±10.8
	SM:PC:PA, 1:1:0.2	0.2 μ	1.20±0.31	74.4±2.9
	SM:PC:PS, 1:1:0.2	0.2 μ	0.02±0.00	81.3±1.8

25

Blood/RES values were measured at 2 hours post injection for sized REVs (0.17 micron) composed of SM:PC, 4:1 and 0.35 mole percent of one of the following gangliosides or modified gangliosides: GM_1 ; GM_2 , containing one less uncharged terminal sugar residue; GM_3 , containing two less uncharged sugar residues; disialoganglioside (GD_{1a}), whose four sugar residues contain two sialic acid moieties; and trisialoganglioside (GT_{1b}), whose four sugar residues contain two sialic acid moieties. The blood/RES ratios are shown in Table 4 below. As seen, only the GM_1 ganglioside gives high blood/RES ratios.

Table 4

	Lipid composition	Blood/Res
	SM:PC:GM1	3.3 (0.3)
5	SM:PC:GM2	0.6 (0.3)
	SM:PC:GM3	0.3 (0.2)
	SM:PC:GD _{la}	0.6 (0.3)
	SM:PC:GT1b	0.3 (0.3)
	SM:PC:ASGM1	0.9 (0.5)

15

20

25

30

Example 8

Liposome Distribution 4 Hours Post Injection

MLVs were prepared as in Example 1, using a hydration buffer containing 25 mM desferal. The lipid compositions are listed at the left in Table 5 below, and the relative molar quantities in the adjacent column. The MLVs were extruded as in Example 1, to produce a size range between about 0.07-1.12 microns, and free desferal was removed by Sephadex™ G-75 column chromatography.

One day before animal injection, a complex of 67 gallium/8-hydroxyquinoline (a weak lipophilic chelator) was added to the liposome suspension. When this complex penetrates the liposomes, 67 Ga translocation to encapsulated desferal occurs. The resulting 67 Ga-desferal complex has high affinity and is not displaceable by transferrin or other metal-binding proteins. If released from liposomes, the complex is rapidly excreted through the urine with a half life of a few minutes. Immediately before injection, the liposomes are passed through an anion exchange resin (AG-1x4 acetate form) which compleletly removes all non-encapsulated 67 Ga-8-hydroxyguinoline complex.

Age- and sex-matched mice were injected intravenously through the tail vein with a liposome preparation having one of the lipid compositions shown in Table 5 below. Four hours after injection, the levels of radioactivity (counts per minute) in blood and dissected body parts, including liver and spleen were measured by gamma counting, using integral counting between 10 and 1,000 kev. Total blood counts were determined as above, based on an estimated total blood volume. Percent total recovery was determined by whole body gamma counts (whole body counts x 100/injected counts).

The blood/RES ratio was calculated as above, by dividing total blood counts by the sum of total liver and spleen counts, with the results shown in Table 5.

As seen, both GM1 ganglioside and hydrogenated PI (HPI) gave relatively high blood/RES ratios in liposomes containing saturated PC or saturated PC plus SM. The same ganglioside or SULF in an unsaturated liposome formulation, or egg PI in an unsaturated formulation gave substantially lower values.

25

30

Table 5

	Liposome	Molar Ratio	Blood/RES	% Total
	Composition	of Components	Ratio	Recovery
5	PG:PC:CH	1:10:5	.083	76.1 (0.8)
	PG:PC:CH	1:10:5	.006	55.8 (2.9)
	(unextruded)			
	GM1:PC:CH	1:10:5	1.1	63.2 (2.0)
	SULF:PC:CH	1:10:5	1.1	61.0 (0.9)
10	DPPG:DSPC:CH	1:10:5	2.0	88.6 (6.1)
	PI:PC:CH	1:10:5	.83	49.0 (8.3)
	HPI:DSPC:CH	1:10:5	2.5	78.7 (1.5)
	GM1:SM:DSPC:CH	1:8:2:5	5	50.3 (3.4)
	GM1:DSPC:CH	1:10:5	5	88.5 (1.2)
15	GL4:PC:CH	1:10:5	.02	
-	GT1:PC:CH	1:10:5	.185	

Example 9

Liposome Distribution 24 hours Post Injection

Sized MLVs having the lipid compositions shown in Table 6 and encapsulating ⁶⁷gallium/desferal complex were prepared as in Example 8. Blood/RES ratios and total percent body recovery, determined as above, are shown at the right in the table. The data show that GM1, HPI and SULF in combination with saturated neutral lipid components, such as DSPC and SM, give optimal blood/RES ratios at 24 hours.

Lower blood/RES values were obtained where the negatively charged component is PG or DSPG, in which the negative charge is shielded by the relatively small glycerol moiety; and cholesterol sulfate (CHS), in which the charged sulfate group is exposed.

Table 6

		Tabi	rable 6		
	Liposome	Molar Ratio	Blood/RES	% Total	
	Composition	of Components	Ratio	Recovery	
5	GT1:PC:CH	1:10:5	0.004	58.2 (8.8)	
	PG:PC:CH				
	(unextruded)	1:10:5	0.004	31.3 (1.5)	
	GLOB:PC:CH	1:10:5	.008	34.3 (9.2)	
	PG:PC:CH	1:10:5	.008	49.8 (3.9)	
10	DSPC:CH	10:5	.014	67.6 (4.2)	
	SULF:PC:CH	1:10:5	.02	41.6 (2.5)	
	SM:PC:CH	8:2:5	.03	21.9 (1.4)	
	SM:PC	8:2	.03	6.3 (1.2)	
	HPI:DOPC:CH	1:10:5	.06	46.3 (1.8)	
15	CHS: DSPC: CH	1:10:5	.08	55.6 (6.0)	
	GM1:SM:PC	1:8:2	.1	15.1 (0.5)	
	PC:CH	10:5	.11	44.4 (2.9)	
	GM1:SM:PC:CH	1:8:2:5	.12	14.4 (1.9)	
•	DPPG:DSPC:CH	1:10:5	.2	59.9 (5.5)	
20	PG:DSPC:CH	1:10:5	.2	12.9 (1.4)	
	PI:PC:CH	1:10:5	.28	37.4 (6.3)	
	SULF:DSPC:CH	1:10:5	. 3	57.5 (3.7)	
	GM1:PC:CH	1:10:5	.33	40.3 (1.5)	
	HPI:DSPC:CH	1:10:5	.43	61.6 (4.0)	
25	GM1:SM:DSPC:CH	1:8:2:5	.5	36.9 (5.3)	
	HPI:HPC:CH	1:10:5	.55	51.1 (6.7)	
	GM1:DSPC:CH	1:10:5	.9	66.3 (4.2)	

also of note are the varied total body recoveries after 24 hours with the different formulations. Although there was no identifiable relationship between percent body recovery to blood or RES values, or their ratio, many of the formulations showed relatively low recoveries where the lipid components had widely varying

phase transition temperatures (T_p) . Thus, for example, the GM_I formulations containing SM $(T_p \text{ about } 30\,^{\circ}\text{C})$ and DSPC $(T_p \text{ about } 50\,^{\circ}\text{C})$ gave much lower recovery than the formulation without SM, also as observed at 4 hours post injection. Even more striking was the low recovery seen for the ganglioside or non-ganglioside formulations containing SM and egg PC $(T_p \text{ about } 0\,^{\circ}\text{C})$, with or without cholesterol.

marker) contained in the blood, liver and spleen over a 24 hour post injection period were determined for several of the liposome compositions, shown at the right in Table 7 below. These levels were determined as area under the curve (AUC) for levels measured at 2, 4 and 24 hours, and thus are related to total levels of marker present in the tissue (blood, liver or spleen) in the period 24 hours post injection.

As seen from the table, high AUC blood/liver ratios were observed for the formulations containing GM₁ or HPI; and PG or DPPG formulations gave much lower ratios, consistent with the blood/RES ratios in Table 6.

Table 7 -

25		Molar Ratio	Blood (AU		Blood/ Liver AUC Ratio
	PG:PC:CH	1:10:5	0.52	7.34	.07
	DPPG:DSPC:CH	1:10:5	3.52	4.27	.82
30	GM1:PC:CH	1:10:5	2.66	1.68	1.58
	GM1:SM:DSPC:CH	1:8:2:5	2.21	1.76	1.25
. : •	GM1:DSPC:CHange	1:10:5:::-	5.84	1.95	3.0
	HPI:DSPC:CH.	1:10:5	3.82	3.31	1.15

To examine whether a statistically significant correlation exists between liposome blood levels on one hand, and RES levels on the other, a linear regression analysis of the data, using the least squares method, was performed. Figure 3A shows the inverse (negative) correlation between blood levels and RES uptake (r=-0.88, p=0.00002). The values were taken from Table 6.

10

15

20

5

Example 10

Effect of Charge on Blood/RES Ratios

Sized MLVs containing increasing molar amounts of HPI or PI and either DSPC or PC plus cholesterol, as indicated in Table 8 below, were prepared as above, and examined for blood/RES ratios and total body recovery 24 or 4 hours post injection. As seen, increasing the molar percentage of HPI from about 6 to 16 produced little change in the blood/RES ratio, whereas the ratio dropped dramatically between 16 and 30 percent. A similar drop in ratio was observed for the PI formulations, both 4 and 24 hours post injection. The percent PI or HPI had no major effect on total body recovery after 4 or 24 hours.

25

Table 8

	Liposome <u>Composition</u>	Molar Ratio of Components	% PG	Blood/RES Ratio	% Total Recovery
5	24 Hours				
	MPI:DSPC:CH	1:10:5	6.3	.55	62.2 (6.0)
	HPI:DSPC:CH	2.5:7.5:5	16.7	.45	59.9 (3.5)
	HPI:DSPC:CH	4.5:5.5:5	30.0	.008	48.3 (1.5)
	PI:PC:CH	1:10:5	6.3	.27	37.4 (6.3)
10	PI:PC:CH	4:6:5	26.7	.03	53.4 (15.3)
	4 Hours		· ·		
	PI:PC:CH	1:10:5	6.3	.83	49.0 (8.3)
	PI:PC:CH	4:6:5	26.7	07	72.4 (2.3)
	•			_	

20

25

30

Example 11

Uptake of Liposomal Marker into Mouse Tumors

Animals were inoculated with J6456 or B16 tumor cell lines. The J6456 line is a T-cell derived lymphoma (Gabizon) that will grow in vitro as a cell suspension, and after intraperitoneal injection, as an ascitic tumor. After IV injection, it will metastasize predominantly into the liver and spleen. The B16 melanoma line is a neuroectodermal-derived, solid type of tumor which grows as an adherent tumor in vitro, and metastasizes mainly in the lungs.

Tumor cells (10⁶ J6456 or 5 x 10⁵ B16 cells) were inoculated intramuscularly (IM) in the hind leg of syngeneic (C57BL/6 or Balb/c) femal mice. Between 2-3 weeks after inoculation, when tumors weighed approximately 0.5 to 2 g, mice were injected IV with 1 umol phospholipid of one of the liposome compositions shown in Table 9 below. The molar ratios of components is the same as for the same-lipid component formulations. The

25

30

liposomes were sized MLVs prepared as above with encapsulated gallium/desferal complex, and having sizes predominantly in the 0.07 to 0.12 micron size range.

Complete animal dissection followed 24 hours 5 after liposome administration. The values shown in the table are based on ⁶⁷ gallium counts and corrected for blood content of the tissues. The correction factor for blood content in normal tissues and tumor was determined by examining the distribution of lllIn-oxine-labeled red 10 blood cells in age- and sex-matched tumor-bearing mice. Ratios were obtained by dividing the percents of injected dose per gram of respective tissues. average represents the average liposome uptake per gram body weight, and was calculated by dividing the percent 15 of injected dose recovered in the total body (including tumor) by the weight of the animal.

As seen from the data in Table 9, for the J6456-injected mice, a steady increase in tumor uptake (up to 25 fold) was observed with liposomes selected for longer in vivo circulation times. Values of between about 4-6% of the injected dose were obtained with the formulations containing GM1 ganglioside or with HPI in combination with saturated phospholipids. These values were obtained after correcting for blood volume in the tumor, as above. When free 67 gallium-desferal complex was injected, the tumor uptake of the marker was less than 0.1% of the injected dose per gram. The data at the right in the table show a concomitant decrease in liver-to-tumor ratios, indicating that liposomes accumulate preferentially in tumors as opposed to non-specific enhancement in all body tissues. Progressively higher tumor-to-carcass ratio were also seen with the GM1 or HPI formulations (data not shown).

Table 9

			80			
		Percent of	Injected Dose	<u>∍/G (SD)</u>	Ratio:	Ratio:
5	Liposome			Body	Tumor/	Liver/
J	Composition	Tumor	Liver	Avq	Body Avq	Tumor
	PG:PC:CH	0.2 (0.0)	36.4 (6.3)	2.9 (0.7)	0.1	182.0
	PG:PC:CH	0.3	21.4	2.3	0.1	71.3
	(unextruded)	•	•			• -
	SULF: PC: CH	0.8	13.6	2.0	0.4	17.0
10	DSPC:CH .	2.1 (0.3)	36.5 (7.5)	2.8 (0.3)	0.8	17.4
10	SULF:DSPC:CH	2.1 (0.3)	32.1 (4.5)	2.1 (0.1)	1.0	15.3
	CHS:DSPC:CH	2.5 (0.1)	29.7 (1.4)	2.3 (0.2)	1.1	11.9
	HPI:DSPC:CH	4.1 (1.1)	37.8 (0.4)	3.0 (0.2)	1.4	9.2
	DPPG:DSPC:CH	4.1 (1.6)	38.3 (0.5)	2.8 (0.1)	1.5	9.3
	GM1:DSPC:CH	5.3 (0.9)	31.7 (1.4)	3.3 (0.1)	1.6	6.0
	GM1:PC:CH	3.5 (0.6)	20.8 (0.9)	2.4 (0.2)	1.5	5.9
15						3.5

Similar conclusions are drawn from the tumor uptake data in animals inoculated with the Bl6, shown in Table 10, although tumor uptake increases as a function of liposome composition are less dramatic.

25

20

p=0.004.

Table 10

5	Liposome Composition	Percent of	Injected Dos	Body	Ratio: Tumor/ Body	Ratio: Liver/ Tumor
10	GM1:PC:CH SULF:DSPC:CH PG:DSPC:CH DSPC:CH CHS:DSPC:CH DPPG:DSPC:CH GM1:DSPC:CH HPI:DSPC:CH (1:10:5)	2.5 (0.7) 3.6 (0.8) 1.5 (0.4) 5.4 5.7 (0.5) 4.9 (0.3) 8.4 (0.3) 5.3 (0.3)	24.3 (1.3) 23.5 (1.4) 9.8 (0.6) 33.2 21.1 (1.9) 17.8 (2.2) 37.2 (7.2) 14.1 (0.3)	3.1 (0.3) 1.2 (0.2) 4.2 3.5 (0.2) 2.9 (0.1)	1.0 1.2 1.3 1.3 1.6 1.7 1.9	9.7 6.5 6.5 6.1 3.7 3.6 4.4 2.7
15	HPI:DSPC:CH (2.5:7.5:5) HPI:DSPC:CH (4.5:5.5:5)	5.2 (1.5)	19.7 (2.1) 44.1 (1.9)	3.3 (0.3) 2.9 (0.1)	1.6	3.8

least squares analysis, was carried out to determine
whether a statistically significant correlation exists
between liposome blood levels and tumor uptake. Figure
3B shows a direct (positive) correlation between blood
levels and tumor uptake of liposomes in both the B6456
(closed triangles) and B16 (closed circles) animals.

The correlation coefficient for the J6456 animals are
r=0.89 and p=0.0005, and for the B16 animals, r=0.91 and

Example 12

30 Uptake of Indium-Labeled Bleomycin into Mouse Tumors
Sized MLVs composed of GM1:DSPC:CH (1:10:5)
and containing encapsulated lllIn-bleomycin were prepared as above. Bleomycin was labelled with lllIn by adding the label to a suspension of bleomycin liposomes

one day before liposome administration, to form a high-affinity, lllIn-bleomycin complex encapsulated in the liposomes. Immediately before use the liposomes were passed through an anion-exchange resin, as above, to remove non-encapsulated 111 In.

Mice innoculated with J6456 lymphoma or B16 cells were injected IV with liposomes (1 umole phospholpid/animal) or with an equivalent amount of free 111 In-bleomycin. Tissue distribution of the radiolabel, 24 hours post administration, was determined as above, 10 with the results shown in Tables II (J6456-infected animals) and Table 12 (Bl6-infected animals). As seen, the liposomal form of the drug increased drug quantity 10-30 fold over free drug.

Table 11 Percent of Injected Dose /q Tissue

20	TISSUE	FREE 111 In-BLEO	111 _{In-BLEO}
. 25	TUMOR BLOOD LIVER BODY AVG	0.7 0.9 0.9 0.5	8.2 (1.5) 2.5 (0.9) 44.8 (0.6) 4.3 (0.1)

Table 12

<u>Percent of Injected Dose / Tissue</u>

5	TISSUE	FREE 111 In-BLEO	Liposomal 111 _{In-BLEO}
	TUMOR	0.3 (0.0)	9.2 (1.9)
	BLOOD	0.1 (0.0)	7.4 (0.8)
	LIVER	0.4 (0.0)	23.0 (1.1)
10	BODY AVG	0.2 (0.0)	4.0 (0.3)

Sized HPI:DSPC:CH (1:10:5) liposomes with encapsulated ¹¹¹In-bleomycin were prepared as above and injected IV into mice inoculated with J6456 tumor cells, as above. Animals were sacrificed at 4, 24 and 48 hours and tumor, blood and liver levels of radioactivity determined, with the results shown in Table 13 below. Blood levels of the label dropped rapidly during the 4-48 hour test period. With both liver and tumor, optimal levels were observed at 24 hours, although both 4 and 48 hour levels were relatively high.

Table 13

Percent of Injected Dose / Tissue

	Tissue	4 hours.	24 hours	48 Hours
	Blood	47.9 (2.5)	8 (1.5)	0.7 (0.1)
	Liver	20.4 (1.6)	30.6 (1.4)	21.4 (0.8)
30	Tumor	7.8 (1.2)	13.7 (1.1)	10.5 (0.7)

Example 13

Uptake of Doxorubicin into J6456 Mouse Tumors

Sized MLVs having one of the three lipid compositions shown in Table 14 below were prepared as above. Doxorubicin was included in the hydration buffer, at a concentration of about 5 mg/ml, and free drug was removed from the sized liposomes by gel filtration.

Mice innoculated with J6456 lymphoma cells were injected IV with the MLVs (1 umole 10 phospholpid/animal) or with an equivalent amount of free doxorubicin. Tissue distribution of the drug, 24 hours post administration, was determined fluorometrically, with the results shown in Tables 13 below. As seen, drug levels of the drug, expressed as percent of 15 injected dose/g tumor, were similar to free drug for the two liposome compositions (PG:PC:CH and $GM_{11}:PG:PC:CH$) which do not show significantly enhanced blood/RES ratios, whereas the drug level in tumors was enhanced 3-6 fold with GM1:DSPC:CH liposomes which show optional 20 blood/RES ratios.

Table 14
Percent of Injected Dose (DXR) / Tumor (SD)

25	·	
	FREE DXR	0.4 (0.1)
	PG:PC:CH (DXR) (1:10:5)	0.2 (0.0)
	GM ₁ :PG:PC:CH (DXR) (1:10:5)	0.2 (0.0)
	GM1:DSPC:CH (DXR) (1:10:5)	1.3 (0.1)

30

While specific methods of preparing and using the liposomes of the invention have been illustrated herein, it will be apparent that a variety of different lipid compositions, drug-liposome formulations, and liposome treatment methods can be practiced within the scope of the invention.

5

10

15

20

25

10

IT IS CLAIMED:

- 1. A composition comprising liposomes which contain an entrapped pharmaceutical agent and are characterized by:
- (a) liposome sizes predominantly between about 0.05 and 0.5 microns,
- (b) liposomes having substantially homogeneous-phase bilayers composed of at least about 50 mole percent of a membrane-rigidifying lipid selected from the group consisting of sphingomyelin and neutral phospholipids with predominantly saturated acyl chains, and
- (c) between about 5-20 mole percent of a glycolipid selected from the group consisting of ganglioside GM1, saturated phosphatidylinositol, and galactocerebroside sulfate ester.
- The composition of claim 1, which is characterized by a tissue distribution ratio, as measured by 20 the amount of intravenously administered liposomes in the blood divided by the combined amount of administered liposomes in the liver and spleen, when measured 2 hours after intravenous administration of the composition to a subject, which is substantially greater than the sum of 25 the tissue distribution ratios obtained with similarly constructed liposome compositions containing in one case, at least about 50 mole percent of the membrane rigidifying lipid, but not the glycolipid, and in the other case, between 5-20 mole percent of the glycolipid, 30 but not the not the membranerigidifying lipid.
 - 3. The composition of claim 1, wherein the glycolipid is ganglioside GM_1 .

- 4. The composition of claim 1, wherein the glycolipid is hydrogenated phosphatidyinositol.
- 5. The composition of claim 1, wherein the liposomes are predominantly in the 0.07 to 0.02 micron size range.
- 6. The composition of claim 1, wherein the
 membrane-rigidifying lipid is brain sphingomyelin
 liposomes contain sphingomyelin and the liposomes contain sphingomyelin and phosphatidylcholine, at a mole
 ratio between 2:1 and 4:1
- 7. The composition of claim 1, wherein the membrane-rigidifying lipid is predominantly phosphatidylcholine with saturated acyl chains.
- 8. In a therapeutic drug treatment in which a drug is administered intravenously in a suspension of liposomes in which the drug is entrapped and whose sizes are predominantly between about 0.05-0.5 microns, a method of extending the lifetime of liposomes in the bloodstream which comprises preparing the liposomes to contain:
 - (a) substantially homogeneous-phase bilayers containing at least about 50 mole percent of a membrane-rigidifying lipid selected from the group consisting of sphingomyelin and neutral phospholipids with predominantly saturated acyl chains, and
 - (b) between about 5-20 mole percent of a glycolipid selected from the group consisting of ganglioside GM₁, saturated phosphatidylinositol, and galactocerebroside sulfate ester.

9. The method of claim 8, wherein the tissue distribution ratio produced by injecting the liposomes intravenously, as measured by the amount of liposomes in the blood divided by the combined amount of administered liposomes in the liver and spleen, when measure 2 hours after intravenous administration of the composition to a subject is substantially greater than the sum of the tissue distribution ratios obtained with similarly constructed liposome compositions containing in one case, at least about 50 mole percent of the membrane rigidifying lipid, but not the glycolipid, and in the other case, between 5-20 mole percent of the glycolipid, but not the membrane rigidifying lipid.

15

10

- 10. The method of claim 8, wherein the glycolipid is ganglioside GM1.
- 11. The method of claim 8 wherein the glycolipid is hydrogenated phosphatidylinositol.
 - 12. The method of claim 8, wherein the liposomes contain sphingomyelin and phosphatidylcholine, at a mole ratio between 2:1 and 4:1.

25

13. The method of claim 8, wherein the membrane-rigidifying lipid is predominantly phosphatidylcholine with saturated acyl chains.

30

14. The method of claim 8, for use in tumor therapeutic drug treatment, wherein the amount of drug which is delivered to the tumor, as measured by the amount drug/tumor weight 24 hours after drug

administration, is severalfold greater than that achievable by administering the drug in free form.

- 15. The method of claim 14, wherein drug which is entrapped in the liposomes is bleomycin.
 - 16. The method of claim 14, wherein the drug which is entrapped in the liposomes is doxorubicin.
- 17. The method of claim 14, which further includes targeting the liposomes to the tumor by addition of tumor-specific binding molecules to the liposome surfaces.

15

20

25







FIG. I



SUBSTITUTE SHEET



SUBSTITUTE SHEET



SUBSTITUTE SHEET

FIG. 4A

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No port/IIS87/03398

U.S. C1. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436/829 Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched 5 III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT! altegory* Citation of Document, 15 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 17 W. US, A, 4,598,051 Published 01 July 1986 PAPAHADJO— 11, 1 innes 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, 1 innes 1-51; col. 15, 1 innes 51-55; and col. 1, 1 lines 20-29. W. US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1959 Jacini See Col. 1, 1 innes 17-22, 28-36 and 43-52. W. US, A, 4,565,696 Published 21 January 1986 Heath et al See col. 3, 1 inne 46. W. US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 Moro et al. See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A. US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1983 Allving et al. * Seacial categories of cited documents: 15 * An extend to be of particular relevance or incompleted to be of particular relevance included in the considered of the seached of the considered of the considered of the seached of the considered of the considered of the seached of the considered of the seached of the considered of the considered of the considered of the seached of the considered of the seached of the considered of the considered of the seached of the considered of the seached of the considered of the	III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT 11 Category* Citation of Occument, 15 with indication, where appropriate, of the Extent that such Documents are Included in 11, lines 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-5; Y US, A, 2,870,179 Published 21 January 1986 See Col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-5; Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 21 November 1 See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1 et al. * Special categories of cited documents: 12 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1 et al. * Special categories of cited documents: 13 and 5. * Special categories of cited documents: 14 and 5. * Special categories of cited documents: 15 and 5. * Special categories of cited documents: 15 and 5. * Special categories of cited documents: 15 and 5. * Special categories of cited documents: 16 and 5. * Special categories of cited documents: 17 and 5. * Special categories of cited documents: 18 and 5. * Special categories of cited documents: 19 and	apply, indicate all) 3 on and IPC (4 ymbols 829 commentation the Fields Searched 3 elevant passages 17 PAPAHADJO— 1	
INT. C1. 4 AGINP/42,9/52; BOIJ 13/02; COTF 9/10 II.S. C1. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436/829 II. FIRLDS SEARCHED Minimum Documentation Searched 4 Classification System Classification Symbols U.S. C1. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436/829 Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched 3 III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT! Labory 1 Challon of Document, 15 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 1 Relevant to Claim No. X US, A, 4,598,051 Published 01 July 1986 PAPAHADJO- 11, 1 times 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, 1 times 1-51; col. 15, 1 times 51-55; and col. 1, 1 times 1-51; col. 15, 1 times 51-55; and col. 1, 1 times 2-29. Y US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1959 Jacini See Col. 1, 1 times 17-22, 28-36 and 43-52. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 21 January 1986 Heath et al See col. 3, 1 time 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 Moro et al. See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1983 Alving or promy date and not in conflict with the application or other special reason (as appointed) "A" document defining the operand state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "A" document of a particular relevance "See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1983 Alving or promy date and not in conflict with the application or other special reason (as appointed) "Or document relevance to usual basis with the sublication date of another considered to be of particular relevance "Or document relevance to usual basis with the sublication date of another considered to be of particular relevance "Or document published prior to the international filing date but later than the prompty date comment on considered to involve an inventive attent the international state than the prompty date comment on considered to involve an inventive attent the international filing date but later than the prompty date colories. In the accument to com	INT. C1. 4 A61K9/42,9/52; B01J 13/02; COTF 9/ II.S. C1. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436/829 Minimum Documentation Search Classification Classification Classification Classification U.S. C1. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436 Documentation Searched other than Minimum to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Extent that Such Park (Included Included Included Included Inclu	ocumentation the Fields Searched s elevant passages 17 Relevant to Claim No. 19 PAPAHADJO— , line 3; Col. 5 1-51; 20-29. 59 Jacini 4,11	
III. S. Cl. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436/829 FIELDS SEARCHED Minimum Documenistion Searched Classification System	III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT! Classification System Classification Searched Documentation Searched Documentation Searched Documentation Searched other than Minimum to the Extent that such Documents are included in the Extent that such D	elevant passages 17 PAPAHADJO— , line 3; Col. s 1-51; 20-29. 59 Jacini 4,11	
Minimum Documentation Searched 4 Classification System Classification Symbols U.S. C1. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436/829 Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched 3 III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT! Alegory Citation of Document, 15 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages !! Relevant to Claim No. 1 X US, A, 4,598,051 Published 01 July 1986 PAPAHADDO- Y POUTOS et al. See Col. 4, line 17-col. 6, line 3; Col. 11, lines 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, lines 1-51; col. 15, lines 51-55; and col. 1, lines 20-29. Y US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1959 Jacini See Col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-52. Y US, A,4565,696 Published 21 January 1986 Heath et al See Col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 Moro et al. 16 See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1983 Alving et al. "A" document which may throw doubts on priority claimful or which is cited to establish the specified in the specified in the considered to be of particular relevance; the claimed invention other mains of particular relevance; the claimed invention other mains of particular relevance; the claimed invention that promoty date claimed in the priority acts claimed in the priority acts claimed into the priority acts claimed in the priority acts claimed in the priority acts claimed in the priority acts acts and the priority acts and the considered to more an invention stee when it in the priority acts claimed in the priority acts and prior to the international filing data but later than the priority acts claimed in the priority acts and prior to the international filing data but later than the priority acts claimed in the priority acts and prior to the international filing data but later than the priority acts cl	Minimum Documentation Search Classification System Classification U.S. C1. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436 Documentation Searched other than Minimum to the Extent that such Documents are included in the Exten	PAPAHADJO— , line 3; Col. s 1-51; 20-29. 59 Jacini 4,11	
Classification System Classification Symbols Classification Symbols	Classification System Classification Search Classification System Classification U.S. C1. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436, Documentation Searched other than Minimum to the Extent that such Documents are included in the Extent that such Documents are incl	PAPAHADJO— , line 3; Col. s 1-51; 20-29. 59 Jacini 4,11	
U.S. C1. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436/829 Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched 1 Bit. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT! Alegory 1 Citation of Document, 15 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 17 FOUTOS et al. See Col. 4, line 17-col. 6, line 3; Col. 11, lines 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, lines 1-51; col. 15, lines 51-55; and col. 14, lines 20-29. Y. US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1959 Jacini See Col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-52. Y. US, A, 4,565,696 Published 21 January 1986 Heath et al See Col. 3, line 46. Y. US, A, 4,460,577 Published 21 January 1986 Heath et al See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A. US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 Moro et al. 16 See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A. US, A, 4,460,577 Published 22 November 1983 Alving et al. "See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A. US, A, 4,460,872 Published 22 November 1983 Alving et al. "See Courset which may throw doubts on priority claimful or which is cited to satisfied the published also I another other mass." "Gocument referring to an oral disclosure, use, satisfied or other mass." "O' document referring to an oral disclosure, use, satisfied to considered to market the priority of the international filing date but later than the promoty acts claimed investigation of the promoty acts claimed investigation of the promoty acts claimed investigation and the promoty acts claimed investigation of the International Search 1 and 1980 MARY 1988 Search Report 1 and 1980 MARY 1988 Se	U.S. C1. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436 Documentation Searched other than Minimum to the Extent that such Documents are included in the Extent that such Documents	PAPAHADJO— , line 3; Col. s 1-51; 20-29. 59 Jacini 4,11	
U.S. C1. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436/829 Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched 5 III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT! altegory* Citation of Document, 15 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 17 W. US, A, 4,598,051 Published 01 July 1986 PAPAHADJO— 11, 1 innes 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, 1 innes 1-51; col. 15, 1 innes 51-55; and col. 1, 1 lines 20-29. W. US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1959 Jacini See Col. 1, 1 innes 17-22, 28-36 and 43-52. W. US, A, 4,565,696 Published 21 January 1986 Heath et al See col. 3, 1 inne 46. W. US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 Moro et al. See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A. US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1983 Allving et al. * Seacial categories of cited documents: 15 * An extend to be of particular relevance or incompleted to be of particular relevance included in the considered of the seached of the considered of the considered of the seached of the considered of the considered of the seached of the considered of the seached of the considered of the considered of the considered of the seached of the considered of the seached of the considered of the considered of the seached of the considered of the seached of the considered of the	U.S. C1. 260/403; 424/450; 428/402.2; 436 Documentation Searched other than Minimum to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Included in the Extent that such Documents are Included in the I	PAPAHADJO— 1 ine 3; Col. 1 1-14,17 4,11,15,16 5 1-51; 20-29. 5 Jacini 4,11	
Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation to the Extent that such Documents are included in the Fields Searched 3 Document	Documentation Searched other than Minimum to the Extent that such Documents are included in the Extent that such Docume	PAPAHADJO— , line 3; Col. 59 Jacini 1 Relevant to Claim No. 14 1 14,17 4,11,15,16 4,11	
III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT! Idegory Citation of Occument, 15 with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages 17 Relevant to Claim No. X	III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT! Category* Citation of Document, 16 with indication, where appropriate, of the POULOS et al. See Col. 4, line 17-col. 11, lines 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, line col. 15, lines 51-55; and col. 1, lines 17-22, lines 51-55; and col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-52 Y US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1986 See Col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-52 Y US, A,4565,696 Published 21 January 1986 See col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 15 "T" later do r prior considered to be of particular relevance considered to be of particular relevance "E" sariler document but published on or after the international "X" document document but published on or after the international "X" document "X" document was document and the particular relevance "E" sariler document but published on or after the international "X" document was document and the particular relevance "E" sariler document but published on or after the international "X" document was document and the particular relevance "E" sariler document but published on or after the international "X" document was document and "X" document was document w	PAPAHADJO— , line 3; Col. s 1-51; 20-29. 59 Jacini Relevant to Claim No. 19 1-14,17 4,11,15,16 4,11	
US, A, 4,598,051 Published 01 July 1986 PAPAHADJO— POULOS et al. See Col. 4, line 17—col. 6, line 3; Col. 11, lines 11—46 and 61—68; col. 14, lines 1—51; col. 15, lines 51—55; and col. 1, lines 2—29. Y US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1959 Jacini See Col. 1, lines 17—22, 28—36 and 43—52. Y US, A, 4,8665,696 Published 21 January 1986 Heath et al See col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 Moro et al. See Examples 1, 2 and 5. US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1983 Alving 1—17 * Special categories of cited documents: 13 * "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance and considered considered or other means and considered and note to establish the published of a robust seed of another considered considered novel or cannot be considered in over the special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "Po document published prior to the international filing date but later than the pnornly date claimed V. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search: Date of Mailing #JNA-Nterp1701 Search Report: **Date of Mailing #JNA-Nterp170	US, A, 4,598,051 Published 01 July 1986 POULOS et al. See Col. 4, line 17-col. 11, lines 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, lines col. 15, lines 51-55; and col. 1, lines US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1986 See Col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-55 US, A,4565,696 Published 21 January 1986 See col. 3, line 46. US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1 et al. "T" later dorprio considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "T" adecument "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" adecument but publis	PAPAHADJO- , line 3; Col. 4,11,15,16 s 1-51; 20-29. 59 Jacini 4,11	
US, A, 4,598,051 Published 01 July 1986 PAPAHADJO— POULOS et al. See Col. 4, line 17—col. 6, line 3; Col. 11, lines 11—46 and 61—68; col. 14, lines 1—51; col. 15, lines 51—55; and col. 1, lines 2—29. Y US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1959 Jacini See Col. 1, lines 17—22, 28—36 and 43—52. Y US, A, 4,8665,696 Published 21 January 1986 Heath et al See col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 Moro et al. See Examples 1, 2 and 5. US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1983 Alving 1—17 * Special categories of cited documents: 13 * "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance and considered considered or other means and considered and note to establish the published of a robust seed of another considered considered novel or cannot be considered in over the special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "Po document published prior to the international filing date but later than the pnornly date claimed V. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search: Date of Mailing #JNA-Nterp1701 Search Report: **Date of Mailing #JNA-Nterp170	Category* Citation of Document, 16 with indication, where appropriate, of the XY US, A, 4,598,051 Published 01 July 1986 POULOS et al. See Col. 4, line 17-col. 11, lines 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, lines col. 15, lines 51-55; and col. 1, lines US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1986 See Col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-55; US, A,4565,696 Published 21 January 1986 See col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1 et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 13 "T" later do or prior considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "T" document but published on or after the international "Account the collection of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "T" document bu	PAPAHADJO- , line 3; Col. 4,11,15,16 s 1-51; 20-29. 59 Jacini 4,11	
US, A, 4,598,051 Published 01 July 1986 PAPAHADJO-POULOS et al. See Col. 4, line 17-col. 6, line 3; Col. 11, lines 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, lines 1-51; col. 15, lines 51-55; and col. 1, lines 20-29. Y US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1959 Jacini See Col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-52. Y US, A,4565,696 Published 21 January 1986 Heath et al See col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 Moro et al. 16 See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1983 Alving 1-17 **Secial categories of cited documents: 12 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1983 Alving 1-17 et al. **T" later document published after the international filing date of particular relevance: the claimed invention considered to be of particular relevance in the principle of theory underlying the considered flower of the international filing date of the considered flower of the international filing date of the Actual Completion of the International filing date but and the principle of the considered flower of the international filing date but and the considered flower of the international filing date but and the considered flower of the international filing date but and the principle of the considered flower of the international filing date but and the principle of the considered flower of the particular relevance; the claimed invention and the flower of the particular relevance; the claimed invention and the flower of the particular relevance; the claimed invention and the flower of the particular relevance; the claimed invention and the flower of the particular relevance; the claimed invention and the flower of the particular relevance; the claimed invention and the flower of the particular relevance; the claimed invention and the flower of the particular relevance; the claimed invention and the flower of the particular relevance; the claimed of the particular relevance; the claimed invention and the flower of the particular relevance; the claimed invention and the flower of the particula	US, A, 4,598,051 Published 01 July 1986 POULOS et al. See Col. 4, line 17-col. 11, lines 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, lines col. 15, lines 51-55; and col. 1, lines Y US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1980 See Col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-52 Y US, A,4565,696 Published 21 January 1980 See col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1980 et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 13	PAPAHADJO- , line 3; Col. 4,11,15,16 s 1-51; 20-29. 59 Jacini 4,11	
POUTOS et al. See Col. 4, line 17-col. 6, line 3; Col. 11, lines 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, lines 1-51; col. 15, lines 51-55; and col. 1, lines 20-29. Y US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 1959 Jacini See Col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-52. Y US, A,4565,696 Published 21 January 1986 Heath et al See col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 Moro et al. See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1983 Alving et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 13 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance et al. 16 "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing day or priority date and not in conflict with the application by et al. "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(3) or which is cited to setablish the published on or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed V. CERTIFICATION Date of Mailing of International Search: Date of Mailing of International Search Report: **Date of Mailing of International Search Report:	11, lines 11-46 and 61-68; col. 14, lines col. 15, lines 51-55; and col. 1, lines Y US, A, 2,870,179 Published 20 January 19 See Col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-55 Y US, A,4565,696 Published 21 January 1986 See col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 13 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document with a document and considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document with a document with a document with a color of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance."	, line 3; Col. 4,11,15,16 s 1-51; 20-29. 59 Jacini 4,11	
See Col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-52. Y US, A, 4565,696 Published 21 January 1986 Heath et al See col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 Moro et al. See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1983 Alving 1-17 et al. *Tocument defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed V. CERTIFICATION Date of Mailing of MAT 1900 Search Report 1	See Col. 1, lines 17-22, 28-36 and 43-52 Y US, A,4565,696 Published 21 January 1986 See Col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1 et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 13 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document and document but published on or after the international "X" document and document and document and document and document with the series of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "X" document and document	•	
See col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 Moro et al. Be Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November 1983 Alving 1-17 et al. "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cried to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other special reason (as specified) "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed inventic annot be considered to involve an inventive step when the later than the priority date claimed inventic annot be considered to involve an inventive step when the later than the priority date claimed inventic annot be considered to involve an inventive step when the considered to	See col. 3, line 46. Y US, A, 4,460,577 Published 17 July 1984 See Examples 1, 2 and 5. A US, A, 4,416,872 Published 22 November et al. *Special categories of cited documents: 13 et al. *T" later do or processed to be of particular relevance investigation of cited to investigate agrifier document but published on or after the international examples.	Heath et al 15	
* Special categories of cited documents: 12 * Special categories of cited documents: 12 * A document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance *E earlier document but published on or after the international filing date *L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) *O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means *P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed *A" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed *A" document of particular relevance: the claimed inventic cannot be considered to be of particular relevance: the claimed inventic cannot be considered to involve an inventive step *Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed inventic cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skille in the art. *A" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed inventic cannot be considered to inventive step when the considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document, such combination being obvious to a person skille in the art. *A" document published prior to the international filing date but document is combined with one or more other such document, such combination being obvious to a person skille in the art. *A" document published prior to the international filing date but document published prior to the international filing date but document published prior to the international filing date but document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more	Special categories of cited documents: 13 * Special categories of cited documents: 13 *A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document "X"		
*Special categories of cited documents: 13 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention in the principle or theory underlying the process of the principle or theory underlying the cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published after the international filling date but later than the principle or theory underlying the principle or theory underlying the cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published after the international filling date of priority date and not in conflict with the application be cited to understand the principle or theory underlying to cited to un	* Special categories of cited documents: 15 "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document."	OB, 11, 4,400,511 1 table 11 out 12 10 1 12 0 0 1 1 1	
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed V. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search: "Date of Mailing of the International Search is not considered to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention of the claimed invention and cannot be considered novel or cannot be	"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention. "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document but published or after the international "X" document but published or after the inte	00, 11, 4,410,012 Tables 22 November 2500 111-15	
"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed V. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search: "Date of Mailing of the International Search is not considered to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention of the claimed invention and cannot be considered novel or cannot be	"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance invention. "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document but published or after the international "X" document but published or after the inte		
considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed V. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search: "A" cited to understand the principle or theory underlying to invention "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when it document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skille in the art. "A" document member of the same patent family Date of Mailing of Mainternation Search Report: "A" Date of Mailing of Mainternation Report: "A" Date of Mainternation Report: "A" Date of Mainternation Report: "A" Date	considered to be of particular relevance "E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" document	ument published after the international filing date	
"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filling date "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed V. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search: "A" document of particular relevance; the claimed inventic cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when it document is combined with one or more other such document, such combination being obvious to a person skille in the art. "A" document of particular relevance; the claimed inventic cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when it document is combined with one or more other such document, such combination being obvious to a person skille in the art. "A" document of particular relevance; the claimed inventic cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when it document is combined with one or more other such document, such combination being obvious to a person skille in the art. "A" document of particular relevance; the claimed inventic cannot be considered novel or cannot be co	"E" earlier document but published on or after the international "X" docum	understand the principle or theory underlying the	
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed V. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search: "Onto document of particular relevance; the claimed inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such document is combined with one or more other such document. "A" document member of the same patent family V. CERTIFICATION Date of Mailing of Maintenants Search Report: 3 0 MAR 1908		at of particular relevance; the claimed invention	
Citation of other special reason (as specified) "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed V. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search: Date of Mailing of Mainternational Search Report: 3 0 MAR 1908	"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or involve which is cited to establish the publication date of another "Y" docum	ne considered novel or cannot be considered to	
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed "4" document member of the same patent family V. CERTIFICATION Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search: Date of Mailing of the International Search Report:	"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or docum	t of particular relevance; the claimed invention	
Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search: Date of Mailing of the International Search Report: 3 0 MAR 1908	"P" document published prior to the international filing date but	nt of particular relevance; the claimed invention e considered to involve an inventive step when the at is combined with one or more other such docu-	
i 90	V. CERTIFICATION	nt of particular relevance; the claimed invention a considered to involve an inventive step when the transfer of the combined with one or more other such docu- uch combination being obvious to a person skilled t.	
Zh Ronniary 1988	i U	nt of particular relevance; the claimed invention a considered to involve an inventive step when the transfer of the combined with one or more other such docu- uch combination being obvious to a person skilled t.	
- Australian & Constitute Australia & Constitute of Australia Officer 10	26 February 1988	of particular relevance; the claimed invention e considered to involve an inventive step when the tils combined with one or more other such docu- uch combination being obvious to a person skilled tild member of the same patent family	
nternational Searching Authority 1 Signature of Authorized Officer 10 Richard A. Kove ring	international Searching Nothbridge 5	of particular relevance; the claimed invention e considered to involve an inventive step when the triple is combined with one or more other such docuuch combination being obvious to a person skilled that member of the same patent family	
	TSA/IIS Richard	of particular relevance; the claimed invention e considered to involve an inventive step when the triple is combined with one or more other such docuuch combination being obvious to a person skilled that member of the same patent family	

International Application No. PCT/US87/03398

FURTHER INFORMATION CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET	
·	
·	
A US, A, 4,515,736 Published 07 May 1985 Deamer	1-17
•	
A,P US, A, 4,684,625 Published 04 August 1987 Eppstein	1-17
et al.	
V. OBSERVATIONS WHERE CERTAIN CLAIMS WERE FOUND UNSEARCHABLE 10	
This international search report has not been established in respect of certain claims under Article 17(2) (a)	for the following reasons:
1. Claim numbers . because they relate to subject matter 12 not required to be searched by this	
The second of th	
·	
•	
2. Claim numbers because they relate to parts of the international application that do not comp	ly with the prescribed require-
ments to such an extent that no meaningful international search can be carried out 13, specifically:	
	4
VI. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING 11	
VI. OBSERVATIONS WHERE UNITY OF INVENTION IS LACKING 11	
This International Searching Authority found multiple inventions in this international application as follows:	:
·	
·	
1. As all required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this international search repor	t covere all eagrchahle claime
of the international application.	t covers an searcheoir claims
2. As only some of the required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant, this internation	nal search report covers only
those claims of the international application for which fees were paid, specifically claims:	
3. No required additional search fees were timely paid by the applicant. Consequently, this international	search ronnet is restricted to
the invention first mentioned in the claims; it is covered by claim numbers:	
4. As all searchable claims could be searched without effort justifying an additional fee, the International invite payment of any additional fee.	il Searching Authority did not
Remark on Protest	
The additional search fees were accompanied by applicant's protest.	
No protest accompanied the payment of additional search fees.	
•	